



Lecture 18: Adjoints, Self-Adjoint, Normal

MATH 110-3

Franny Dean

July 24, 2023

Notation

Today V, W are finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} -vector spaces.

Back to Linear Maps and Operators!

Back to Linear Maps and Operators!

Def'n:

S'pose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **adjoint** of T is the function $T^* : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

Back to Linear Maps and Operators!

Def'n:

S'pose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **adjoint** of T is the function $T^* : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

*This is the *Hermitian* adjoint.

Back to Linear Maps and Operators!

Def'n:

S'pose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **adjoint** of T is the function $T^* : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

*This is the *Hermitian* adjoint.

Why/how...?

Back to Linear Maps and Operators!

Def'n:

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **adjoint** of T is the function $T^* : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

*This is the *Hermitian* adjoint.

Why/how...?

- Fix $w \in W$. Consider the linear functional $\mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})$ that sends v to $\langle Tv, w \rangle$.

Back to Linear Maps and Operators!

Def'n:

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **adjoint** of T is the function $T^* : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

*This is the *Hermitian* adjoint.

Why/how...?

- Fix $w \in W$. Consider the linear functional $\mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})$ that sends v to $\langle Tv, w \rangle$.
- By the Riesz Representation Thm, there is a unique element of V such that this linear functional is given by $\langle v, \text{that vector} \rangle$.

Back to Linear Maps and Operators!

Def'n:

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **adjoint** of T is the function $T^* : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

*This is the *Hermitian* adjoint.

Why/how...?

- Fix $w \in W$. Consider the linear functional $\mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})$ that sends v to $\langle Tv, w \rangle$.
- By the Riesz Representation Thm, there is a unique element of V such that this linear functional is given by $\langle v, \text{that vector} \rangle$.
- T^*w is *that vector*

Example

Given $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined $T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2 + 3x_3, 2x_1)$. Find a formula for T^* .

Example

Given $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined $T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2 + 3x_3, 2x_1)$. Find a formula for T^* .

Start with

$$\langle (x_1, x_2, x_3), T^*(y_1, y_2) \rangle = \langle T(x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2) \rangle$$

Example

Given $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined $T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2 + 3x_3, 2x_1)$. Find a formula for T^* .

Start with

$$\langle (x_1, x_2, x_3), T^*(y_1, y_2) \rangle = \langle T(x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2) \rangle$$

Solution: $T^*(y_1, y_2) = (2y_2, y_1, 3y_1)$.

Adjoint is a Linear Map

Prop'n:

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$.

Adjoint is a Linear Map

Prop'n:

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$.

Proof.

Adjoint is a Linear Map

Prop'n:

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$.

Proof. Additivity:

Adjoint is a Linear Map

Prop'n:

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$.

Proof. Additivity:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, T^*(w_1 + w_2) \rangle &= \langle Tv, w_1 + w_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle Tv, w_1 \rangle + \langle Tv, w_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v, T^*w_1 \rangle + \langle v, T^*w_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v, T^*w_1 + T^*w_2 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Adjoint is a Linear Map

Prop'n:

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$.

Proof. Additivity:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, T^*(w_1 + w_2) \rangle &= \langle Tv, w_1 + w_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle Tv, w_1 \rangle + \langle Tv, w_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v, T^*w_1 \rangle + \langle v, T^*w_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v, T^*w_1 + T^*w_2 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Homogeneity also uses the proof technique of *flipping* T^* from one side of the inner product to be T on the other side.

Adjoint Properties

Prop'n [Axl14]:

1. $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$

Adjoint Properties

Prop'n [Axl14]:

1. $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$
2. $(\lambda T)^* = \bar{\lambda} T^*$

Adjoint Properties

Prop'n [Axl14]:

1. $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$
2. $(\lambda T)^* = \bar{\lambda} T^*$
3. $(T^*)^* = T$

Adjoint Properties

Prop'n [Axl14]:

1. $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$
2. $(\lambda T)^* = \bar{\lambda} T^*$
3. $(T^*)^* = T$
4. $I^* = I$

Adjoint Properties

Prop'n [Axl14]:

1. $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$
2. $(\lambda T)^* = \bar{\lambda}T^*$
3. $(T^*)^* = T$
4. $I^* = I$
5. $(ST)^* = T^*S^*$

Adjoint Properties

Prop'n [Axl14]:

1. $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$
2. $(\lambda T)^* = \bar{\lambda}T^*$
3. $(T^*)^* = T$
4. $I^* = I$
5. $(ST)^* = T^*S^*$

Let's prove 1,3.

Null space and range of T^*

Prop'n [Axl14]:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$

1. $\text{null } T^* = (\text{range } T)^\perp$
2. $\text{range } T^* = (\text{null } T)^\perp$
3. $\text{null } T = (\text{range } T^*)^\perp$
4. $\text{range } T = (\text{null } T^*)^\perp$

Null space and range of T^*

Prop'n [Axl14]:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$

1. $\text{null } T^* = (\text{range } T)^\perp$
2. $\text{range } T^* = (\text{null } T)^\perp$
3. $\text{null } T = (\text{range } T^*)^\perp$
4. $\text{range } T = (\text{null } T^*)^\perp$

Proof.

Null space and range of T^*

Prop'n [Axl14]:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$

1. $\text{null } T^* = (\text{range } T)^\perp$
2. $\text{range } T^* = (\text{null } T)^\perp$
3. $\text{null } T = (\text{range } T^*)^\perp$
4. $\text{range } T = (\text{null } T^*)^\perp$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}w \in \text{null } T^* &\Leftrightarrow T^*w = 0 \\&\Leftrightarrow \langle v, T^*w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \\&\Leftrightarrow \langle Tv, w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \\&\Leftrightarrow w \in (\text{range } T)^\perp\end{aligned}$$

Null space and range of T^*

Prop'n [Axl14]:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$

1. $\text{null } T^* = (\text{range } T)^\perp$
2. $\text{range } T^* = (\text{null } T)^\perp$
3. $\text{null } T = (\text{range } T^*)^\perp$
4. $\text{range } T = (\text{null } T^*)^\perp$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}w \in \text{null } T^* &\Leftrightarrow T^*w = 0 \\&\Leftrightarrow \langle v, T^*w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \\&\Leftrightarrow \langle Tv, w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \\&\Leftrightarrow w \in (\text{range } T)^\perp\end{aligned}$$

How can we conclude the rest of the proof?

Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

What's the conjugate transpose?

Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

What's the conjugate transpose?

- Transpose

Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

What's the conjugate transpose?

- Transpose
- Take the complex conjugate of each entry

Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

What's the conjugate transpose?

- Transpose
- Take the complex conjugate of each entry

Example:

Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

What's the conjugate transpose?

- Transpose
- Take the complex conjugate of each entry

Example: The complex conjugate of $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 + 4i & 7 - i \\ 3 & 1 & 1 - 11i \end{pmatrix}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 - 4i & 1 \\ 7 + i & 1 + 11i \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof of Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

Proof of Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

Proof.

Proof of Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

Proof.

Because the bases are orthonormal, we can read the entries of the columns of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ off of

$$Te_k = \langle Te_k, f_1 \rangle f_1 + \dots + \langle Te_k, f_m \rangle f_m$$

and $\mathcal{M}(T)_{j,k} = \langle Te_k, f_j \rangle$.

Proof of Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

Proof.

Because the bases are orthonormal, we can read the entries of the columns of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ off of

$$Te_k = \langle Te_k, f_1 \rangle f_1 + \dots + \langle Te_k, f_m \rangle f_m$$

and $\mathcal{M}(T)_{j,k} = \langle Te_k, f_j \rangle$. We have that the columns of $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ come from

$$T^*f_k = \langle T^*f_k, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle T^*f_k, e_n \rangle e_n$$

Proof of Matrix of T^*

Prop'n:

$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Orthonormal bases, e_1, \dots, e_n of V and f_1, \dots, f_m of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ with respect to these bases is the **conjugate transpose** of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ with these bases.

Proof.

Because the bases are orthonormal, we can read the entries of the columns of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ off of

$$Te_k = \langle Te_k, f_1 \rangle f_1 + \dots + \langle Te_k, f_m \rangle f_m$$

and $\mathcal{M}(T)_{j,k} = \langle Te_k, f_j \rangle$. We have that the columns of $\mathcal{M}(T^*)$ come from

$$T^*f_k = \langle T^*f_k, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle T^*f_k, e_n \rangle e_n$$

and $\mathcal{M}(T^*)_{j,k} = \langle T^*f_k, e_j \rangle = \langle f_k, Te_j \rangle = \overline{\langle Te_j, f_k \rangle} = \overline{\mathcal{M}(T)_{k,j}}$

What are the differences between T^* and T' ?

- $T^* : W \rightarrow V, T' : W' \rightarrow V'$

What are the differences between T^* and T' ?

- $T^* : W \rightarrow V, T' : W' \rightarrow V'$
- Matrix of T^* is the conjugate transpose, Matrix of T' is the transpose

Self-Adjoint

Now consider operators,

Self-Adjoint

Now consider operators,

Def'n:

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is called **self-adjoint** if $T = T^*$.

Self-Adjoint

Now consider operators,

Def'n:

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is called **self-adjoint** if $T = T^*$.
This is definition of *Hermitian*.

Why care about Self-Adjoint/Hermitian operators?

Prop'n:

Every eigenvalue of a self-adjoint operator is real.

Why care about Self-Adjoint/Hermitian operators?

Prop'n:

Every eigenvalue of a self-adjoint operator is real.

Proof.

Why care about Self-Adjoint/Hermitian operators?

Prop'n:

Every eigenvalue of a self-adjoint operator is real.

Proof. Suppose $Tv = \lambda v$.

Why care about Self-Adjoint/Hermitian operators?

Prop'n:

Every eigenvalue of a self-adjoint operator is real.

Proof. Suppose $Tv = \lambda v$.

$$\lambda \|v\|^2 = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \|v\|^2$$

Why care about Self-Adjoint/Hermitian operators?

Prop'n:

Every eigenvalue of a self-adjoint operator is real.

Proof. Suppose $Tv = \lambda v$.

$$\lambda \|v\|^2 = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \|v\|^2$$

$$\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$$

Other Results

Prop'n [Ax14]:

Over \mathbb{C} , $\langle Tv, v \rangle$ is real for all v if and only if $T = T^*$.

Other Results

Prop'n [Axl14]:

Over \mathbb{C} , $\langle Tv, v \rangle$ is real for all v if and only if $T = T^*$.

Prop'n [Axl14]:

Over \mathbb{C} , Tv is orthogonal to v for all v if and only if $T = 0$.

Prop'n [Axl14]:

If $T = T^*$ and Tv is orthogonal to v for all v , then $T = 0$.

Normal Operators

Def'n:

An operator T on an inner product space is called **normal** if $TT^* = T^*T$.

Normal Operators

Def'n:

An operator T on an inner product space is called **normal** if $TT^* = T^*T$.

$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ is not self-adjoint but is normal.

Why care about normal operators?

Prop'n 7.20:

An operator T is normal if and only if $\|Tv\| = \|T^*v\|$ for all v .

Prop'n 7.21:

A normal operator T and its adjoint share the same eigenvectors.

Prop'n 7.22:

The eigenvectors of a normal operator of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.20:

An operator T is normal if and only if $\|Tv\| = \|T^*v\|$ for all v .

Proofs

Prop'n 7.20:

An operator T is normal if and only if $\|Tv\| = \|T^*v\|$ for all v .

Proof.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.20:

An operator T is normal if and only if $\|Tv\| = \|T^*v\|$ for all v .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} T \text{ is normal} &\Leftrightarrow T^*T - TT^* = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle (T^*T - TT^*)v, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle T^*Tv, v \rangle = \langle TT^*v, v \rangle \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle Tv, Tv \rangle = \langle T^*v, T^*v \rangle \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|Tv\|^2 = \|T^*v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

In the second line, we need that $T^*T - TT^*$ is self-adjoint.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.21:

A normal operator T and its adjoint share the same eigenvectors.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.21:

A normal operator T and its adjoint share the same eigenvectors.

Proof.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.21:

A normal operator T and its adjoint share the same eigenvectors.

Proof.

First, T normal implies $T - \lambda I$ is normal.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.21:

A normal operator T and its adjoint share the same eigenvectors.

Proof.

First, T normal implies $T - \lambda I$ is normal.

Then

$$0 = \|(T - \lambda I)v\| = \|(T - \lambda I)^*v\| = \|(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)v\|.$$

Proofs

Prop'n 7.22:

The eigenvectors of a normal operator of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.22:

The eigenvectors of a normal operator of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proof.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.22:

The eigenvectors of a normal operator of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proof.

Suppose α, β are distinct eigenvalues, $Tu = \alpha u$ and $Tv = \beta v$.

Proofs

Prop'n 7.22:

The eigenvectors of a normal operator of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proof.

Suppose α, β are distinct eigenvalues, $Tu = \alpha u$ and $Tv = \beta v$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha - \beta)\langle u, v \rangle &= \langle \alpha u, v \rangle - \langle u, \bar{\beta} v \rangle \\ &= \langle Tu, v \rangle - \langle u, T^* v \rangle \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Proofs

Prop'n 7.22:

The eigenvectors of a normal operator of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proof.

Suppose α, β are distinct eigenvalues, $Tu = \alpha u$ and $Tv = \beta v$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha - \beta)\langle u, v \rangle &= \langle \alpha u, v \rangle - \langle u, \bar{\beta} v \rangle \\ &= \langle Tu, v \rangle - \langle u, T^* v \rangle \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

So, $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$.

References

- [Axl14] Sheldon Axler.
Linear Algebra Done Right.
Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Cham, 2014.