

# Lecture 19: The Spectral Theorem(s)

MATH 110-3

Franny Dean

July 25, 2023

#### Def'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . The **adjoint** of T is the function  $T^* : W \to V$  such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

#### Def'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . The **adjoint** of T is the function  $T^* : W \to V$  such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

#### Def'n:

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is called **self-adjoint** if  $T = T^*$ .

#### Def'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . The **adjoint** of T is the function  $T^* : W \to V$  such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

for every  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

#### Def'n:

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is called **self-adjoint** if  $T = T^*$ .

#### Def'n:

An operator T on an inner product space is called **normal** if  $TT^* = T^*T$ .

#### Condition for normality:

An operator *T* is normal if and only if  $||Tv|| = ||T^*v||$  for all *v*.

#### Condition for normality:

An operator *T* is normal if and only if  $||Tv|| = ||T^*v||$  for all *v*.

#### Norm of an orthonormal linear combo:

If  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  is an orthonormal list of vectors in V, then  $||a_1e_1 + \ldots + a_me_m||^2 = |a_1|^2 + \ldots + |a_m|^2$  for any  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ .

#### Condition for normality:

An operator *T* is normal if and only if  $||Tv|| = ||T^*v||$  for all *v*.

#### Norm of an orthonormal linear combo:

If  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  is an orthonormal list of vectors in V, then  $||a_1e_1 + \ldots + a_me_m||^2 = |a_1|^2 + \ldots + |a_m|^2$  for any  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ .

#### Schur's Theorem:

S'pose V is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Then  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  has an upper triangular matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis.

#### Condition for normality:

An operator *T* is normal if and only if  $||Tv|| = ||T^*v||$  for all *v*.

#### Norm of an orthonormal linear combo:

If  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  is an orthonormal list of vectors in V, then  $||a_1e_1 + \ldots + a_me_m||^2 = |a_1|^2 + \ldots + |a_m|^2$  for any  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ .

#### Schur's Theorem:

S'pose V is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Then  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  has an upper triangular matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis.

#### Cauchy-Schwarz:

Suppose  $u, v \in V$ . Then  $|\langle u, v \rangle| \le ||u|| ||v||$ . Equality is reached if and only if one of u or v is a scalar multiple of the other.



**Goal:** Characterize when an operator has a diagonal matrix with respect to an orthonormal basis.



**Goal:** Characterize when an operator has a diagonal matrix with respect to an orthonormal basis.

• Case 1:  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ 



**Goal:** Characterize when an operator has a diagonal matrix with respect to an orthonormal basis.

Case 1: F = C
Case 2: F = R

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T = \mathcal{L}(V)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1. T is normal
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T = \mathcal{L}(V)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1. T is normal
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

Proof.

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T = \mathcal{L}(V)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1. T is normal
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

#### Proof.

We already know (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) by conditions for diagonalizability. We show (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3).

Proof.

Proof.

Suppose *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthogonal basis.

Proof.

Suppose *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthogonal basis.

Then  $T^*$  is the conjugate transpose

Proof.

Suppose T has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthogonal basis.

Then  $T^*$  is the conjugate transpose and also diagonal.

Proof.

Suppose T has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthogonal basis.

Then  $T^*$  is the conjugate transpose and also diagonal.

Diagonal matrices commute. Thus, *T* is normal.

Proof (cont'd).

Proof (cont'd).

On the other hand, suppose T is normal.

Proof (cont'd).

On the other hand, suppose T is normal.

Schur's Theorem, tells us there is an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of V where T has an upper triangular matrix:

Proof (cont'd).

On the other hand, suppose T is normal.

Schur's Theorem, tells us there is an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of V where T has an upper triangular matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}(T,e_1,\ldots,e_n)=\left(egin{array}{ccc}a_{1,1}&\ldots&a_{1,n}\\&\ldots&\vdots\\0&&a_{n,n}\end{array}
ight)$$

Proof (cont'd).

On the other hand, suppose T is normal.

Schur's Theorem, tells us there is an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of V where T has an upper triangular matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

We will show this is actually diagonal.

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \left( egin{array}{ccc} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{array} 
ight)$$

We have

$$||Te_1||^2 = |a_{1,1}|^2$$

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \left( egin{array}{ccc} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{array} 
ight)$$

We have

$$||Te_1||^2 = |a_{1,1}|^2$$

and

$$||T^*e_1||^2 = |a_{1,1}|^2 + |a_{1,2}|^2 + \ldots + |a_{1,n}|^2$$

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \left( egin{array}{ccc} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{array} 
ight)$$

We have

$$||Te_1||^2 = |a_{1,1}|^2$$

and

$$||T^*e_1||^2 = |a_{1,1}|^2 + |a_{1,2}|^2 + \ldots + |a_{1,n}|^2$$

since *T* is normal, these are equal.

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \left( egin{array}{ccc} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{array} 
ight)$$

We have

$$||Te_1||^2 = |a_{1,1}|^2$$

and

$$||T^*e_1||^2 = |a_{1,1}|^2 + |a_{1,2}|^2 + \ldots + |a_{1,n}|^2$$

since *T* is normal, these are equal.

Thus, all the entries  $a_{1,k}$  are zero except possibly  $a_{1,1}$ .

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for the next column:

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ldots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for the next column:

$$||Te_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2$$

and

$$||T^*e_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2 + |a_{2,3}|^2 + \ldots + |a_{2,n}|^2$$

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ldots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for the next column:

$$||Te_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2$$

and

$$||T^*e_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2 + |a_{2,3}|^2 + \ldots + |a_{2,n}|^2$$

since T is normal, these are equal.

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ldots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for the next column:

$$||Te_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2$$

and

$$||T^*e_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2 + |a_{2,3}|^2 + \ldots + |a_{2,n}|^2$$

since T is normal, these are equal.

Thus, all the entries  $a_{2,k}$  are zero except possibly  $a_{2,2}$ .

#### FD • MATH 110 • July 25, 2023

Proof (cont'd).

$$\mathcal{M}(T, e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,n} \\ & \ldots & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for the next column:

$$||Te_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2$$

and

$$||T^*e_2||^2 = |a_{2,2}|^2 + |a_{2,3}|^2 + \ldots + |a_{2,n}|^2$$

since T is normal, these are equal.

Thus, all the entries  $a_{2,k}$  are zero except possibly  $a_{2,2}$ . Repeating, we see that  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is **diagonal**!

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is self-adjoint
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consiting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b^2 < 4c$ . Then  $T^2 + bT + cI$  is invertible.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b^2 < 4c$ . Then  $T^2 + bT + cI$  is invertible.

Proof.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b^2 < 4c$ . Then  $T^2 + bT + cI$  is invertible.

Proof.

$$\langle (T^2 + bT + cI)v, v \rangle = \langle T^2v, v \rangle + b\langle Tv, v \rangle + c\langle v, v \rangle$$

$$= \langle Tv, Tv \rangle + b\langle Tv, v \rangle + c||v||^2$$

$$\ge ||Tv||^2 - |b|||Tv|||v|| + c||v||^2$$

$$= \left( ||Tv|| - \frac{|b|||v||^2}{2} \right)^2 + \left(c - \frac{b^2}{4}\right) ||v||^2$$

$$> 0$$

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b^2 < 4c$ . Then  $T^2 + bT + cI$  is invertible.

Proof.

$$\langle (T^2 + bT + cI)v, v \rangle = \langle T^2v, v \rangle + b \langle Tv, v \rangle + c \langle v, v \rangle$$

$$= \langle Tv, Tv \rangle + b \langle Tv, v \rangle + c ||v||^2$$

$$\ge ||Tv||^2 - |b|||Tv|||v|| + c ||v||^2$$

$$= \left( ||Tv|| - \frac{|b|||v||^2}{2} \right)^2 + \left(c - \frac{b^2}{4}\right) ||v||^2$$

$$> 0$$

Implies  $(T^2 + bT + cI)v \neq 0$  (bc self-adjoint) and  $(T^2 + bT + cI)$  is injective.



Notice that we used, from last lecture:

#### Prop'n [Axl14]:

For *T* a self-adjoint operator on *V* a  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space, such that  $\langle Tv, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ . Then T = 0.

In general, if V is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space if T is not self-adjoint  $\langle Tv, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$  does not imply T = 0.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $V \neq \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint. Then T has an eigenvalue.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $V \neq \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint. Then T has an eigenvalue.

Proof.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $V \neq \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint. Then T has an eigenvalue.

Proof.

•  $v, Tv, T^2v, \ldots, T^nv$  are linearly dependent

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $V \neq \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint. Then T has an eigenvalue.

Proof.

- $v, Tv, T^2v, \ldots, T^nv$  are linearly dependent
- Write as polynomial:

$$0 = a_0 v + a_1 T v + \ldots + a_n T^n v$$

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $V \neq \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint. Then T has an eigenvalue.

Proof.

- $v, Tv, T^2v, \ldots, T^nv$  are linearly dependent
- Write as polynomial:

$$0 = a_0 v + a_1 T v + \ldots + a_n T^n v$$

Can factorize as:

$$c(T^2+b_1T+c_1I)\cdots(T^2+b_MT+c_MI)(T-\lambda_1I)\cdots(T-\lambda_mI)=0$$

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $V \neq \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint. Then T has an eigenvalue.

Proof.

- $v, Tv, T^2v, \ldots, T^nv$  are linearly dependent
- Write as polynomial:

$$0 = a_0 v + a_1 T v + \ldots + a_n T^n v$$

Can factorize as:

 $c(T^2 + b_1T + c_1I) \cdots (T^2 + b_MT + c_MI)(T - \lambda_1I) \cdots (T - \lambda_mI) = 0$ 

But the quadratic terms are invertible, so for some  $\lambda_j$ ,  $T - \lambda_j I$  is not injective and T has an eigenvalue.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- **1**.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under *T*
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- **1**.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under *T*
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

Proof.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

*Proof.* For (1), suppose  $v \in U^{\perp}$ , then for any  $u \in U$ :

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

*Proof.* For (1), suppose  $v \in U^{\perp}$ , then for any  $u \in U$ :

$$\langle Tv, u \rangle = \langle v, Tu \rangle = 0.$$

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

*Proof.* For (1), suppose  $v \in U^{\perp}$ , then for any  $u \in U$ :

$$\langle Tv, u \rangle = \langle v, Tu \rangle = 0.$$

Implies  $Tv \in U^{\perp}$ .

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

Proof (cont'd).

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

*Proof (cont'd)*. For (2), suppose  $u, v \in U$ , then:

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

*Proof (cont'd)*. For (2), suppose  $u, v \in U$ , then:

$$\langle T|_U(u), v \rangle = \langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle = \langle u, T|_Uv \rangle.$$

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

*Proof (cont'd)*. For (2), suppose  $u, v \in U$ , then:

$$\langle T|_U(u), v \rangle = \langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle = \langle u, T|_Uv \rangle.$$

Implies  $T|_U$  is self-adjoint.

#### Prop'n:

S'pose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T. Then

- 1.  $U^{\perp}$  is invariant under T
- 2.  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is self-adjoint
- 3.  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint

*Proof (cont'd)*. For (2), suppose  $u, v \in U$ , then:

$$\langle T|_U(u), v \rangle = \langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle = \langle u, T|_Uv \rangle.$$

Implies  $T|_U$  is self-adjoint.

For (3), replace U with  $U^{\perp}$  in (2).  $\Box$ .

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is self-adjoint
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consiting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

Proof.

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is self-adjoint
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consiting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

*Proof.* We show (3)  $\implies$  (1),

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is self-adjoint
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consiting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

*Proof.* We show (3)  $\implies$  (1),i.e. desired diagonal matrix implies self-adjoint.

#### Theorem:

Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is self-adjoint
- 2. V has an orthonormal basis consiting of eigenvectors of T
- 3. *T* has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of *V*

*Proof.* We show (3)  $\implies$  (1),i.e. desired diagonal matrix implies self-adjoint.

A diagonal matrix is equal to its transpose and the complex conjugate of any real number is itself,  $T = T^*$ .

Proof (cont'd).

We (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): self-adjoint gives basis of orthonormal eigenvectors, using induction on dimension.

Proof (cont'd).

We (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): self-adjoint gives basis of orthonormal eigenvectors, using induction on dimension.

Base case:

Proof (cont'd).

We (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): self-adjoint gives basis of orthonormal eigenvectors, using induction on dimension.

*Base case:* dim V = 1. Pick the basis to be  $\{1\}$ 

Proof (cont'd).

We (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): self-adjoint gives basis of orthonormal eigenvectors, using induction on dimension.

*Base case:* dim V = 1. Pick the basis to be  $\{1\}$ 

*Induction:* Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  self-adjoint and all vector spaces of smaller dimension have orthonormal eigenbases.

Proof (cont'd).

*Induction:* Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  self-adjoint and all vector spaces of smaller dimension have orthonormal eigenbases.

Proof (cont'd).

*Induction:* Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  self-adjoint and all vector spaces of smaller dimension have orthonormal eigenbases.

We know T has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

#### Proof (cont'd).

*Induction:* Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  self-adjoint and all vector spaces of smaller dimension have orthonormal eigenbases.

We know *T* has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Choose *u* such that  $Tu = \lambda u$  and ||u|| = 1.

#### Proof (cont'd).

*Induction:* Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  self-adjoint and all vector spaces of smaller dimension have orthonormal eigenbases.

We know *T* has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Choose *u* such that  $Tu = \lambda u$  and ||u|| = 1.

Let U = span(u). U is a 1-dimensional invariant subspace  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint.

#### Proof (cont'd).

*Induction:* Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  self-adjoint and all vector spaces of smaller dimension have orthonormal eigenbases.

We know *T* has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Choose *u* such that  $Tu = \lambda u$  and ||u|| = 1.

Let U = span(u). U is a 1-dimensional invariant subspace  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint.

By hypothesis,  $T|_{U^{\perp}}$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

#### Proof (cont'd).

*Induction:* Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  self-adjoint and all vector spaces of smaller dimension have orthonormal eigenbases.

We know *T* has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Choose *u* such that  $Tu = \lambda u$  and ||u|| = 1.

Let U = span(u). U is a 1-dimensional invariant subspace  $T|_{U^{\perp}} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint.

By hypothesis,  $T|_{U^{\perp}}$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

Adjoin this basis to u, found a basis of orthonormal eigenvectors of V.

Proof (cont'd).

So we've show (3)  $\implies$  (1)  $\implies$  (2).

Proof (cont'd).

So we've show (3)  $\implies$  (1)  $\implies$  (2). And we already know, (2)  $\implies$  (3).

Proof (cont'd).

So we've show (3)  $\implies$  (1)  $\implies$  (2). And we already know, (2)  $\implies$  (3). So, we're done!  $\Box$ .



[Ax114] Sheldon Axler. Linear Algebra Done Right. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Cham, 2014.