

# Lecture 9: Isomorphisms

MATH 110-3

**Franny Dean** 

July 5, 2023

### Def'n:

A linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called **invertible** if there exists a map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$  such that *ST* is the identity on *V* and *TS* is the identity on *W*.

### Def'n:

A linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called **invertible** if there exists a map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$  such that *ST* is the identity on *V* and *TS* is the identity on *W*.

#### Def'n:

We call the map *S* above the **inverse** of *T*.

### Def'n:

A linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called **invertible** if there exists a map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$  such that *ST* is the identity on *V* and *TS* is the identity on *W*.

#### Def'n:

We call the map *S* above the **inverse** of *T*.

#### Example:

### Def'n:

A linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called **invertible** if there exists a map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$  such that *ST* is the identity on *V* and *TS* is the identity on *W*.

#### Def'n:

We call the map *S* above the **inverse** of *T*.

**Example:**  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined as  $T(x_1, x_2) = (2x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2)$ 

### Def'n:

A linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called **invertible** if there exists a map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$  such that *ST* is the identity on *V* and *TS* is the identity on *W*.

#### Def'n:

We call the map S above the **inverse** of T.

#### Example:

 $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined as  $T(x_1, x_2) = (2x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2)$ 

 $S : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined as  $S(y_1, y_2) = (\frac{1}{2}y_1, 3y_2)$  is the **inverse** of *T* 

### Def'n:

A linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called **invertible** if there exists a map  $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$  such that *ST* is the identity on *V* and *TS* is the identity on *W*.

#### Def'n:

We call the map S above the **inverse** of T.

#### Example:

 $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined as  $T(x_1, x_2) = (2x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2)$ 

 $S : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined as  $S(y_1, y_2) = (\frac{1}{2}y_1, 3y_2)$  is the **inverse** of *T* and *T* is thus **invertible** 



If an inverse exist, then it is unique.



If an inverse exist, then it is unique.

Proof.



If an inverse exist, then it is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  be invertible and  $S_1, S_2$  be two of its inverses.



If an inverse exist, then it is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  be invertible and  $S_1, S_2$  be two of its inverses. Then

$$S_1 = S_1 I = S_1 (TS_2) = (S_1 T) S_2 = IS_2 = S_2.$$



If an inverse exist, then it is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  be invertible and  $S_1, S_2$  be two of its inverses.

Then

$$S_1 = S_1 I = S_1 (TS_2) = (S_1 T) S_2 = IS_2 = S_2.$$

#### Notation

Write the inverse of *T* as  $T^{-1}$ .

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for finite-dimensional V. We have that ST = I if and only if TS = I.

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for finite-dimensional V. We have that ST = I if and only if TS = I.

Proof.

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for finite-dimensional V. We have that ST = I if and only if TS = I.

#### Proof.

#### Lemma:

Suppose *V* is finite-dimensional and  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that *ST* is invertible if and only if both *S* and *T* are invertible.

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for finite-dimensional V. We have that ST = I if and only if TS = I.

#### Proof.

#### Lemma:

Suppose *V* is finite-dimensional and  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that *ST* is invertible if and only if both *S* and *T* are invertible.

S'pose ST = I.

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for finite-dimensional V. We have that ST = I if and only if TS = I.

#### Proof.

#### Lemma:

Suppose *V* is finite-dimensional and  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that *ST* is invertible if and only if both *S* and *T* are invertible.

S'pose ST = I. We have that S, T are both invertible.

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for finite-dimensional V. We have that ST = I if and only if TS = I.

#### Proof.

#### Lemma:

Suppose *V* is finite-dimensional and  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that *ST* is invertible if and only if both *S* and *T* are invertible.

S'pose ST = I. We have that S, T are both invertible. So  $STT^{-1} = IT^{-1} = T^{-1}$ .

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for finite-dimensional V. We have that ST = I if and only if TS = I.

#### Proof.

#### Lemma:

Suppose *V* is finite-dimensional and  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that *ST* is invertible if and only if both *S* and *T* are invertible.

S'pose ST = I. We have that S, T are both invertible. So  $STT^{-1} = IT^{-1} = T^{-1}$ . And  $TS = TT^{-1} = I$ .

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

S'pose T is invertible...

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

S'pose *T* is invertible...*Injective*:

Tu = Tv

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

S'pose *T* is invertible...*Injective*:

$$Tu = Tv$$

$$u = (T^{-1}T)u = T^{-1}(Tu) = T^{-1}(Tv) = v$$

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

S'pose *T* is invertible...*Injective*:

$$u = (T^{-1}T)u = T^{-1}(Tu) = T^{-1}(Tv) = v$$

*Surjective:* Let  $w \in W$ .

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

S'pose *T* is invertible...*Injective*:

$$u = (T^{-1}T)u = T^{-1}(Tu) = T^{-1}(Tv) = v$$

*Surjective:* Let  $w \in W$ . Then  $TT^{-1}w = w$ ,  $T^{-1}w \in V$ .

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

S'pose *T* is invertible...*Injective*:

$$Tu = Tv$$

$$u = (T^{-1}T)u = T^{-1}(Tu) = T^{-1}(Tv) = v$$

*Surjective:* Let  $w \in W$ . Then  $TT^{-1}w = w$ ,  $T^{-1}w \in V$ .

S'pose *T* is injective and surjective...

FD • MATH 110 • July 5, 2023

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

Proof (cont'd).

S'pose *T* is injective and surjective...

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

Proof (cont'd).

S'pose *T* is injective and surjective...

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

Proof (cont'd).

S'pose *T* is injective and surjective...

We construct the inverse map  $T^{-1}: W \to V$ . For each  $w \in W$ , there exists a  $v \in V$  such that Tv = w by surjectivity. This v is unique by injectivity.

Let  $T^{-1}w := v$  so that  $T(T^{-1}w) = Tv = w$ .

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

Proof (cont'd).

S'pose *T* is injective and surjective...

Let 
$$T^{-1}w := v$$
 so that  $T(T^{-1}w) = Tv = w$ .  
 $T((T^{-1}T)v) = (TT^{-1})(Tv) = I(Tv) = Tv$ 

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

Proof (cont'd).

S'pose *T* is injective and surjective...

Let 
$$T^{-1}w := v$$
 so that  $T(T^{-1}w) = Tv = w$ .  
 $T((T^{-1}T)v) = (TT^{-1})(Tv) = I(Tv) = Tv$   
 $\implies (T^{-1}T)v = v$  since  $T$  is injective.

### Prop'n:

A linear map is invertible if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

Proof (cont'd).

S'pose *T* is injective and surjective...

Let 
$$T^{-1}w := v$$
 so that  $T(T^{-1}w) = Tv = w$ .  
 $T((T^{-1}T)v) = (TT^{-1})(Tv) = I(Tv) = Tv$   
 $\implies (T^{-1}T)v = v$  since  $T$  is injective.  
To complete the proof... show  $T^{-1}$  is linear.

### **Non-Invertible Examples**

Anything that is not surjective.

### **Non-Invertible Examples**

- Anything that is not surjective.
- Anything that is not injective.

### **Non-Invertible Examples**

- Anything that is not surjective.
- Anything that is not injective.
- Multiplication by  $x^2$  from  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  to itself.

## **Non-Invertible Examples**

- Anything that is not surjective.
- Anything that is not injective.
- Multiplication by  $x^2$  from  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  to itself.
- Backwards shift map  $\mathbb{F}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{F}^{\infty}$ .

## **Non-Invertible Examples**

- Anything that is not surjective.
- Anything that is not injective.
- Multiplication by  $x^2$  from  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  to itself.
- Backwards shift map  $\mathbb{F}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{F}^{\infty}$ .

$$T(x,y) = (0,y)$$



An invertible linear map is also called an **isomorphism**.



An invertible linear map is also called an **isomorphism**.

Two vector spaces are called **isomorphic** is there exists an isomorphism between them.

### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof.* S'pose V, W are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces.

### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof.* S'pose V, W are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces. Then there is an isomorphism between them:

### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof.* S'pose V, W are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces. Then there is an isomorphism between them: *T*.

### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof.* S'pose V, W are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces. Then there is an isomorphism between them: *T*.

T is injective, so null T = 0.

### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof.* S'pose V, W are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces. Then there is an isomorphism between them: *T*.

T is injective, so null T = 0. T is surjective, so range T = W. Thus, by the formula

dim V = dim null T + dim range T,

we have

$$\dim V = 0 + \dim W.$$

#### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  ${\mathbb F}$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

Proof (cont'd).

#### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  ${\mathbb F}$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof (cont'd)*. For the other direction:

#### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof (cont'd)*. For the other direction: S'pose V, W are of the same dimension.

#### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof (cont'd)*. For the other direction: S'pose V, W are of the same dimension. Then pick bases  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  of W.

#### Def'n:

Two finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb F$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

*Proof (cont'd)*. For the other direction: S'pose V, W are of the same dimension. Then pick bases  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  of W.

The map

$$T(c_1v_1+\ldots+c_nv_n)=c_1w_1+\ldots+c_nw_n$$

is an isomorphism. 🗆

### **Maps and Matrices**

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is a basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is a basis of W. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{n,m}$ .

## **Maps and Matrices**

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is a basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is a basis of W. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{n,m}$ .

Proof ...

## **Maps and Matrices**

#### Prop'n:

Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is a basis of V and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is a basis of W. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{n,m}$ .

#### Proof ...

- *M* is linear
- *M* is injective
- *M* is surjective



A linear map from a vector space to itself is called an operator.



A linear map from a vector space to itself is called an **operator**. The set of all operators on V is denoted  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .



A linear map from a vector space to itself is called an **operator**. The set of all operators on V is denoted  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ .

#### Remarkable result...

#### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- T is injective
- T is surjective
- T is invertible

### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is injective
- 2. T is surjective
- 3. *T* is invertible

### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is injective
- 2. T is surjective
- 3. *T* is invertible

Proof.

### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is injective
- 2. T is surjective
- 3. *T* is invertible

 $\textit{Proof.} \text{ Need to show 1} \implies 2,2 \implies 3,3 \implies 1.$ 

### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is injective
- 2. T is surjective
- 3. T is invertible

*Proof.* Need to show  $1 \implies 2, 2 \implies 3, 3 \implies 1$ .

1  $\implies$  2: If *T* is injective, dim null *T* = 0. By Rank-Nullity, dim range = dim *V* - dim null *T* = dim *V*.

### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is injective
- 2. T is surjective
- 3. T is invertible

*Proof.* Need to show  $1 \implies 2, 2 \implies 3, 3 \implies 1$ .

1  $\implies$  2: If *T* is injective, dim null *T* = 0. By Rank-Nullity, dim range = dim *V* - dim null *T* = dim *V*.

2  $\implies$  3: If *T* is surjective, range *T* = *V* and dim null *T* = dim *V* - range *T* = 0.

### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is injective
- 2. T is surjective
- 3. T is invertible

*Proof.* Need to show  $1 \implies 2, 2 \implies 3, 3 \implies 1$ .

1  $\implies$  2: If *T* is injective, dim null *T* = 0. By Rank-Nullity, dim range = dim *V* - dim null *T* = dim *V*.

2  $\implies$  3: If *T* is surjective, range *T* = *V* and dim null *T* = dim *V* - range *T* = 0.

 $3 \implies 1$  is by previous prop'n.

### Prop'n:

Suppose *V* is *finite-dimensional* and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is injective
- 2. T is surjective
- 3. T is invertible

*Proof.* Need to show  $1 \implies 2, 2 \implies 3, 3 \implies 1$ .

1  $\implies$  2: If *T* is injective, dim null *T* = 0. By Rank-Nullity, dim range = dim *V* - dim null *T* = dim *V*.

2  $\implies$  3: If *T* is surjective, range *T* = *V* and dim null *T* = dim *V* - range *T* = 0.

 $3 \implies 1$  is by previous prop'n.





### **Discussion Questions**

- 1. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  are both invertible linear maps. Prove that  $ST \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$  is invertible and that its inverse is  $(ST)^{-1} = T^{-1}S^{-1}$ .
- 2. Come up with an example of a linear map that is injective but not surjective and one that is surjective but not injective.
- 3. Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$  is the differentiation map defined Dp = p'. Find a basis of  $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R})$  and a basis of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that the matrix of D with respect to these bases is

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

## **Discussion Questions**

- 4. Suppose *V* and *W* are finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove there exists a basis of *V* and a basis of *W* such that with respect to these bases, all entries of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  are 0 except the entries in row *j*, column *j* equal 1 for all *j* such that  $1 \le j \le \dim \operatorname{range} T$ .
- 5. Find two 2  $\times$  2 matrices that do not commute.
- 6. Let  $a_0, \ldots, a_n$  be any scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Consider the basis  $1, x, \ldots, x^n$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  and the standard basis of  $\mathbb{F}^{n+1}$ . Write the matrix of the transformation

$$T: \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$$
  
 $p \to (p(a_0), p(a_1), \dots, p(a_n))$ 

with respect to these bases.

## **Discussion Questions**

- 7. Suppose V is finite dimensional and S, T,  $U \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and STU = I. Show that T is invertible and that  $T^{-1} = US$ .
- 8. Suppose V is finite dimensional and  $R, S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  are such that *RST* is surjective. Prove S is injective.

$$(ST)(T^{1}S^{-1}) = S(TT^{-1})S^{-1} = SIS^{-1} = SS^{-1} = I$$
  
 $(T^{-1}S^{-1})(ST) = T^{-1}(S^{-1}S)T = T^{-1}IT = T^{-1}T = I$ 

- 2. One solution is example 3.68 in [Axl14].
- Basis for P<sub>3</sub>(ℝ): x<sup>3</sup>, x<sup>2</sup>, x, 1 Basis for P<sub>2</sub>(ℝ): 3x<sup>2</sup>, 2x, 1
- 5. An example of two matrices that do not commute are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrr} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

1.

4. Pick  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  to be a basis for null *T*.

4. Pick  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  to be a basis for null *T*. Extend to a basis  $u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of *V*.

4. Pick  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  to be a basis for null *T*. Extend to a basis  $u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of *V*. As in the proof of Rank-Nullity,  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  span range *T*.

4. Pick  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  to be a basis for null *T*. Extend to a basis  $u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of *V*. As in the proof of Rank-Nullity,  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n$  span range *T*. Extend this list to a basis  $Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n, w_1, \ldots, w_m$  of *W*.

Pick u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub> to be a basis for null *T*. Extend to a basis u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> of *V*. As in the proof of Rank-Nullity, *Tv*<sub>1</sub>,..., *Tv<sub>n</sub>* span range *T*. Extend this list to a basis *Tv*<sub>1</sub>,..., *Tv<sub>n</sub>*, w<sub>1</sub>,..., w<sub>m</sub> of *W*. These are the desired bases as...

Pick u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub> to be a basis for null *T*. Extend to a basis u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> of *V*. As in the proof of Rank-Nullity, *Tv*<sub>1</sub>,..., *Tv<sub>n</sub>* span range *T*. Extend this list to a basis *Tv*<sub>1</sub>,..., *Tv<sub>n</sub>*, w<sub>1</sub>,..., w<sub>m</sub> of *W*. These are the desired bases as...

$$Tv_{1} = 1 \cdot Tv_{1} + 0 \cdot T_{2} + 0 \cdot w_{1} + \dots + 0 \cdot w_{m}$$
  

$$Tv_{2} = 0 \cdot Tv_{1} + 1 \cdot T_{2} + 0 \cdot w_{1} + \dots + 0 \cdot w_{m}$$
  
:  

$$Tv_{n} = 0 \cdot Tv_{1} + \dots + 1 \cdot T_{n} + 0 \cdot w_{1} + \dots + 0 \cdot w_{m}$$

Pick u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub> to be a basis for null *T*. Extend to a basis u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> of *V*. As in the proof of Rank-Nullity, *Tv*<sub>1</sub>,..., *Tv<sub>n</sub>* span range *T*. Extend this list to a basis *Tv*<sub>1</sub>,..., *Tv<sub>n</sub>*, w<sub>1</sub>,..., w<sub>m</sub> of *W*. These are the desired bases as...

$$Tv_{1} = 1 \cdot Tv_{1} + 0 \cdot T_{2} + 0 \cdot w_{1} + \dots + 0 \cdot w_{m}$$
  

$$Tv_{2} = 0 \cdot Tv_{1} + 1 \cdot T_{2} + 0 \cdot w_{1} + \dots + 0 \cdot w_{m}$$
  
:  

$$Tv_{n} = 0 \cdot Tv_{1} + \dots + 1 \cdot T_{n} + 0 \cdot w_{1} + \dots + 0 \cdot w_{n}$$

... giving the desired matrix form.

- 6. This is the Vandermonde matrix.
- 7. Using prop'n from lecture, (ST)U = I implies U(ST) = I. This implies  $US = T^{-1}$ .
- 8. *RST* surjective implies *R*(*ST*) invertible. *R*(*ST*) invertible implies *ST* invertible. *ST* invertible implies *S* is invertible which implies *S* is surjective.



[Ax114] Sheldon Axler. Linear Algebra Done Right. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Cham, 2014.